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Introduction

Examples of the KIT team’s work with civil society organizations and within state and administrative processes, to increase the participation and representation of marginalized groups and improve institutional responses to citizens. In Italy, traditional models of governance and social, cultural and economic exclusion of ethnic minorities have failed (ERCC, 2000; Sigona, 2008; Ambrosini and Testi, 2007; Ambrosini and Testi, 2008; Colombo, 2008; Open Society Institute, 2008, Vitale 2009; Ambrosini, 2010; Vitale 2011; Basso, 2010 Enar, 2011; ECRI, 2012; Picker, 2012). There is a need for a new approach that is also able to involve target policy beneficiaries. The problem of Roma in the country is the result of many exclusion factors — such as xenophobia and discrimination — and reflects decades of passive public intervention. As a result, heterogonism of objectives in this policy area is a typical example, i.e. in order to circumvent traditional governance models and develop new paths to the effective integration of ethnic minorities, the EU’s current fundamental principles of Roma inclusion, the Italian Ministry of Labour financed the Valore Lavoro action research project, supported and coordinated by the Lombardy Regional Authority, between 2009 and 2013. The project actively involved some people as promoters of their own journey to labour integration through a bottom-up management model. The results of the project show that public policies can play an important role in promoting the social inclusion of minorities by promoting a participatory governance model inspired by the principles of subsidiarity, autonomy, accountability and active involvement of community leaders expressed by minority groups themselves. These are new relevant elements to be taken into account when planning social interventions. This model, as mentioned above, is also based on close cooperation between national and regional authorities, civil society bodies (Charities, NGOs, third sector bodies, social partners) and Italy’s (individuals and their families and their associations). If the document were twofold: firstly, to identify a participatory governance model capable of meeting the objective of integrating marginalized and excluded groups with a particular focus on the Roma. Secondly, to explain how and to what extent the participation of the Roma, one of the most excluded groups in Europe, can effectively improve the policy design on Roma inclusion, the document is divided into three parts: in the first part, particular attention is paid to the specific characteristics of the governance of Roma inclusion; in the second part, the participatory management model is presented as a way to innovate traditional top-down approaches with a focus on its strengths and weaknesses. In the third part, the article focuses on the features of the Valore Lavoro project and its participatory management model as a way to innovate traditional top-down approaches with a focus on its strengths and weaknesses. Government may be defined as the process of developing, identifying and implementing policy measures implemented according to a decision criteria and partnerships between public and private at third party, in which all parties are involved in the process by providing resources, taking responsibility, exercising power, benefiting from the expected results of the policies themselves (Segaon, 2012, p. 262). On the other hand, governance is interpreted as the basis for contingency ideologies as neoliberal or neo-Keynesian: according to Kooiman (2003), the idea of governance is an idea of history leading modern society to a new conception of the role that the public authority (state) must play in the process to meet the changing needs of citizens, on the one hand, and a new idea of the role played by the citizen themselves, on the other. 7 In the first perspective, governmental strategies have transcended all economically advanced societies in the Western Hemispheres, affecting the welfare state and
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The social nature of money: a theoretical perspective

In this chapter, we will discuss the theoretical challenges and institutional issues related to the social nature of money. We will first explore the concept of the social nature of money and its implications for the functioning of financial systems. Then, we will address the institutional challenges that arise from the social nature of money, including the role of institutions in shaping financial systems.

The social nature of money refers to the way in which money is used and perceived by individuals and groups in society. It is an important aspect of financial systems, as it affects the way in which money is created and used, and the way in which financial institutions are structured and operated.

The social nature of money is often characterized by the idea of the social production of money, which suggests that money is not simply an abstract concept, but rather a product of social interactions and agreements. This idea is central to the theory of the social nature of money, and it has important implications for the way in which financial systems are structured and operated.

One of the key institutional challenges related to the social nature of money is the role of institutions in shaping financial systems. In particular, we will explore the role of financial institutions in shaping financial systems, and how they influence the way in which money is used and perceived by individuals and groups in society.

We will also discuss the role of government in shaping financial systems, and how government policies and regulations can affect the way in which money is used and perceived by individuals and groups in society.

Finally, we will address the role of international organizations and institutions in shaping financial systems, and how they influence the way in which money is used and perceived by individuals and groups in society.